# **Jogether2Goal**

AMGA Foundation National Diabetes Campaign Monthly Campaign Webinar

November 10, 2016

# **TODAY'S WEBINAR**

### Together 2 Goal<sup>®</sup> Updates

- Webinar Reminders
- 2017 Webinar Topics
- Goal Post Nov. Newsletter Highlights
- Q3 2016 Data Reporting Reminder
- National Day of Action Highlights
- Conduct Practice-Based Screening
  - John Cuddeback, MD, PhD, AMGA Analytics
  - Edward Gregg, PhD, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
- Q&A

**logether2Goal** 

- Use Q&A or chat feature



# **WEBINAR REMINDERS**

- Webinar will be recorded today and available the week of November 14<sup>th</sup>
  - Together2Goal.org Website (Improve Patient Outcomes → Webinars)
  - Email distribution
- Participants are encouraged to ask questions using the "Chat" and "Q&A" functions on the right side of your screen





# **2017 WEBINAR TOPICS**

- Seeking AMGA members to present on topics of interest, including:
  - How you incorporate the patient perspective (for instance, do you include patients or family members on committees?)
  - How you use innovative technology (such as mobile apps, Emmi, remote monitoring, etc.)
- To volunteer, please email
  <u>together2goal@amga.org</u>



# Q3 2016 DATA REPORTING DEADLINE: DEC. 2

#### **REPORTING TIMELINE:**

Measurement Periods (Defined by Quarters)	Measurement Periods (Defined by Months and Days)	Reporting Deadline	Blinded, Comparative Reports Sent to Participating Organizations
<b>2016 Q3</b> (2015 Q4 - 2016 Q3)	<b>2016 Q3</b> (2015 Oct 1 - 2016 Sep 30)	December 2, 2016	December 22, 2016

#### For data assistance, contact <u>DataHelpForT2G@amga.org</u>.



# **GOAL POST NOV. NEWSLETTER HIGHLIGHTS**



## **Upcoming Dates**

- November 14-17: Institute for Quality Leadership
  - 11/14: Together 2 Goal<sup>®</sup> Pre-Conference Session (Interactive CORE Program)
  - 11/15: Quality Improvement Leadership Council Meeting
  - 11/16: Together 2 Goal<sup>®</sup> Peer-to-Peer Breakout Session
- December 2: Q3 2016 data due
- December 22: Q3 blinded, comparative reports sent to participating organizations

## Together 2 Goal.

## NATIONAL DAY OF ACTION HIGHLIGHTS





# NATIONAL DAY OF ACTION HIGHLIGHTS





ogether 2 Goal.

DANNILE, Pa. – Geisinger Health System announced today that if has joned the ANGA Foundation's Dateless: Together 2 does careaging along with move than 120 driet reading healthcraft or organizations across the country. This national carepsign aims to improve care for 1 million people with Type 2 diabetes in the United States by 2010.

The Centers for Disease Cented and Prevention estimates that approximately 28.1 million people – or 9.3 Artis 6 Rewardsen perioral of the population – have databetes: Thip 2 databetes accurs for 50 to 50 perior of datageosets. The Statis 6 Research and databetes in U.S. adults. People who have databetes are at higher risk of serious health complications, such as head databetes and stroke, how of the working causes of dealth in the U.S. Other complications can include bindinges, kideny failure, and loss of fees, feet, or feets.

Geisinger and its fellow AMGA members participating in Together 2 Goal commit to implementing one or more evidence-based care processes designed to empower patients, improve care delivery and leverage information technology.

Geisinger is currently implementing an evidenced based care process for diabetic retinopathy screening utilizing high resolution currenze located within primary care and endocrinology specially clinics in Daniela. This new technology does not require a patient to receive diating evid ords. The fully werthain images are then transmitted varial belendácine to an ordinative aparted to accurate instructions are reported back to the patient and their primary care or endocrinology provider. Gesinger is seeking to expand this service to additional clinic closes no 2017 to heir with the early detection of diabetic retinopary and prevent vision is no urplentes with diabetes.



HealthyWomen @HealthyWomen Nov 3 It's National Day of Action to manage #diabetes. Watch Rev Run share #tips for everyday #healthyliving. @AMGAFhealth



Everyday Activities for Healthy Living Daily activity is important to everyone's overall healthwhether or not you are at risk of diabetes. Check out some sample exercises from Rev Run and a Novo ... youtube.com





GREETINGS:

It is my pleasure to join with Premier Medical Associates and the commonwealth's medical professionals, volunteers, and advocates to proclaim November 3, 2016, as *National Day of Action*.

Diabetes is one of the most pressing health issues we face - it affects one million adults in Pennsylvania and is the seventh leading cause of death in the commonwealth. By participating in the National Day of Action, Premier Medical Associates pledges to improve care for patients with diabetes in the Greater Pittsburgh area. I applaud this organization's campaign to improve practice based screening abilities and increase seather diagnosis to prevent the serious and life-threatening complications that diabetes may cause. The work of the staff and providers at Premier Medical Associates are key steps in improving health outcomes and the quality of life for people with or at risk for developing diabetes. I encourage all citizens to continue to raise awareness about this disease and the complications that it can cause, while providing support to those suffering from diabetes.

As Governor, and on behalf of all citizens of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, I an honored to support *National Day of Action* in the commonwealth. Please accept my best wishes for continued success in your mission.



225 Main Capitol Building | Harrisburg, PA 17120 | 717.787.2500 | Fax 717.772.8284 | www.pa.gov



# **TODAY'S SPEAKERS**

## Edward Gregg, PhD



Chief of the Epidemiology and Statistics Branch, Division of Diabetes Translation, National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention



## John Cuddeback, MD, PhD



Chief Medical Informatics Officer AMGA Analytics

©2016 AMGA FOUNDATION

## **CAMPAIGN PLANKS**

#### EMPOWER PATIENTS



Build an Accountable Diabetes Team

1	The	1
	20	

Integrate Emotional & Behavioral Support



Refer to Diabetes Self-Management Education & Support Programs

#### IMPROVE CARE DELIVERY



Conduct Practice-Based Screening



Adopt Treatment Algorithm



Measure HbA<sub>1c</sub> Every 3-6 months



Assess & Address Risk of Cardiovascular Disease



Contact Patients Not at Goal & with Therapy Change within 30 Days

#### LEVERAGE INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

]
1-
]=
-

**Use a Patient Registry** 



Embed Point-of-Care Tools



Publish Transparent Internal Reports

# **CAMPAIGN TOOLKIT**

## CONDUCT PRACTICE-BASED SCREENING

One-fourth of Americans who have Type 2 diabetes and nearly twice that proportion among Asian and Hispanic Americans—are unaware they have it. Screening asymptomatic adults (practice-based case detection) is therefore an essential population health strategy.

According to the American Diabetes Association's Standards of Care:

- All patients 45 years of age or older should be tested, with repeat testing every 3 years if the results are normal, every year for people who have prediabetes; and
- Testing should be considered in adults younger than 45 who are overweight (BMI ≥ 25, or ≥ 23 in Asian Americans) and have additional risk factors.

#### TIPS FOR EFFECTIVE SCREENING

- Conduct screening in a practice-based setting, where patients can receive individualized treatment and support.
- Use hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c), fost ac nla ma

A process is in place to identify patients seen in the practice who diabetes, according to American Diabetes mendations for testing for diabetes or preadults. Screening occurs at primary care, nephrology, and other specialty visits (as and appropriate follow-up is provided. The tients who already meet the clinical criteria k a diagnosis or problem list entry.

- Organizations should consider addressing policy, system, and environmental factors through community interventions to promote healthy lifestyles.
- Create care pathways for those newly diagnosed with Type 2 diabetes or pre-diabetes:
  - For people found to have Type 2 diabetes, therapy should be individualized.
  - For people who have "pre-diabetes" (HbA1c 5.7-6.4%, impaired fasting glucose, or impaired glucose tolerance), retesting should occur at least once a year.
  - Clinicians should provide full diagnostic disclosure that promotes shared decisionmaking. This may include creation of a "roadmap" for aggressive lifestyle interventions to prevent or delay the onset of overt Type 2 diabetes.
  - Consider referral to programs that meet the guidelines of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention's Mational Disharah Prevention

## **Together 2 Goal**

#### ©2016 AMGA FOUNDATION

CONDUCT PRACTICE-BASED SCREENING

Progress and Challenges in Screening and Risk Stratification for Type 2 Diabetes Prevention

> Edward W. Gregg, PhD Division of Diabetes Translation Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

Findings and conclusions in this presentation are those of the author and do not necessarily represent those of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

# Outline

Summary of recommendations and goals

 Recent analyses from Division of Diabetes Translation

Quandaries and challenges

### **Diabetes Pyramid of Prevention**



## **ADA Recommendations on Screening**

#### Table 2.2—Criteria for testing for diabetes or prediabetes in asymptomatic adults

- Testing should be considered in all adults who are overweight (BMI ≥25 kg/m<sup>2</sup> or ≥23 kg/m<sup>2</sup> in Asian Americans) and have additional risk factors:
  - physical inactivity
  - first-degree relative with diabetes
  - high-risk race/ethnicity (e.g., African American, Latino, Native American, Asian American, Pacific Islander)
  - women who delivered a baby weighing >9 lb or were diagnosed with GDM
  - hypertension ( $\geq$ 140/90 mmHg or on therapy for hypertension)
  - HDL cholesterol level <35 mg/dL (0.90 mmol/L) and/or a triglyceride level >250 mg/dL (2.82 mmol/L)
  - women with polycystic ovary syndrome
  - A1C  $\geq$ 5.7% (39 mmol/mol), IGT, or IFG on previous testing
  - other clinical conditions associated with insulin resistance (e.g., severe obesity, acanthosis nigricans)
  - history of CVD
- 2. For all patients, testing should begin at age 45 years.
- 3. If results are normal, testing should be repeated at a minimum of 3-year intervals, with consideration of more frequent testing depending on initial results (e.g., those with prediabetes should be tested yearly) and risk status.

### US Preventive Services Task Force: Abnormal Blood Glucose and Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus: Screening (2015)

- Population: Adults aged 40 to 70 years who are overweight or obese.
- Recommendation: Screen for abnormal blood glucose as part of cardiovascular risk assessment in adults aged 40 - 70 y who are overweight or obese. Offer or refer patients with abnormal blood glucose to intensive behavioral counseling interventions to promote a healthful diet and PA.
- Grade: B (high certainty of moderate benefit or moderate certainty that the net benefit is moderate to substantial.)
- Rationale:
  - Benefits on BP, glucose, lipid levels, obesity, PA, and for person with IGT, progression to diabetes.
  - Minimal harm apart from short-term anxiety.

### Screening and Diagnosis for Type 2 Diabetes and Pre-diabetes In the U.S.: General Principals and Concepts

- Screening/testing in clinical settings and established clinical/community partnerships, but not community-wide screening.
- 2-stage approaches that include risk assessment tools followed by diagnosis with glycemic tests.
- Screening testing for undiagnosed diabetes/prediabetes more cost-effective than either alone.
- Integrate with other recommended screening (e.g., lipid, BP).
- Need for refined risk stratification for primary prevention.

*The National Diabetes Prevention Program:* A Public-private partnership to scale the translated model of the DPP.

# National Diabetes Prevention Program

#### Training: Increase Workforce

Train the workforce that can implement the program cost effectively.



#### Recognition Program: Assure Quality

Implement a recognition program that will:

- Assure quality.
- · Lead to reimbursement.
- Allow CDC to develop a program registry.



#### Intervention Sites: Deliver Program

Develop intervention sites that will build infrastructure and provide the program.



#### Health Marketing: Support Program Uptake

Increase referrals to and use of the prevention program.

Division of Diabetes Translation • http://www.cdc.gov/diabetes/prevention



Albright A, Gregg EW. Am J Prev Med. 2013;44(4S4):S346–51.www.cdc.gov/diabetes/prevention

## **The National Diabetes Prevention Program:**

- Clinical-community partnership with delivery by lifestyle coaches in community settings.
- Diverse settings (YMCAs, employers, community settings, virtual delivery)
- Train-the-trainer model by master trainers.
- 16-visit curriculum for small group counseling.
- Training, recognition and registry program by CDC to:
  - Train workforce
  - Ensure standards, quality, and credibility.
  - Drive reimbursement.
- Insurers and self-pays.

#### **CDC Diabetes Prevention Recognition Program**



- 1007 CDC-recognized programs across 50 states/territories.
- >10,300 coaches (lay people; health professionals) trained.
- Serving 85,008 eligible participants.
- 39 commercial health plans providing some coverage for 2.4M

# **Key Challenges in US Roll-Out**

- High Risk Population
  - Sustainable reimbursement structure.
  - Assuring high quality programs in communities.
  - Referral and engagement.
  - Risk stratification that ensures cost effectiveness.

## Whole populations

- Determining food, behavioral, physical activity, social policies that work.
- Effectiveness of broad reach, low-intensity programs.
- Finding politically-acceptable, effective levers.

## **Issues and Challenges for Risk Stratification**

- Lifestyle intervention most cost-effective among persons with high rate of progression and with insulin resistance.
- Different glycemic tests (FPG, A1c, OGTT) find different people (IFG; eA1c; IGT).
  - All predict progression to DM and CVD.
  - Only IGT population tested in prevention trials.
  - OGTT rarely used in practice (except for GDM).
- The ADA definition of pre-diabetes captures very large proportion of the population with heterogeneous risk.
- Refinement of risk stratification approaches and a multi-tiered approach to prevention is needed.

Recent Analyses and Implications

#### Prevalence of Pre-Diabetes among U.S. Adults, According to Different Definitions of Pre-Diabetes, NHANES 2005-2008



James et al., Diab Care, 2011

## Incremental Cost-Effectiveness of Alternative A1c Cut Points (Compared to Neighboring Cutpoint)



Zhuo et al., 2012

Relationship of A1c (x-axis) and 10-year Diabetes Incidence (y axis). *Circle size represents the proportion of total diabetes cases over 10 years.* 



Adapted from Zhang et al., 2010; Zhuo et al., 2012; Gregg et al., 2013

# Cost-effectiveness of the 2014 USPSTF recommendations for intensive behavioral counseling interventions for adults with cardiovascular risk factors



Presented at ADA Scientific Sessions, Lin et al., 2016

# Cost-effectiveness of the 2014 USPSTF recommendations for intensive behavioral counseling interventions for adults with cardiovascular risk factors



Presented at ADA Scientific Sessions, Lin et al., 2016

## **Summary and conclusions**

- Overall, the USPSTF recommended lifestyle intervention is cost effective
- The cost-effectiveness varies by risk factor status
  - Cost saving for obese persons with IFG and ≥ 1 other CVD risk factors.
  - Cost effective for persons with either obesity or IFG
  - Not cost effective for non-obese persons without IFG
- Intervention priority should be set based on risk status

## Receipt of Glucose Testing among US adults, NHANES 2007-2012 (Bullard et al., PLOS One, 2015)

- Proportion meeting criteria for screening:
  - 73% (156 million) met ADA criteria
- 51% of eligible adults reported being tested in past 3 years.
- Eligible individuals not tested were more likely to be:
  - Lower educated
  - Poorer
  - Uninsured
  - Have no usual place of care



Bullard eet al., PILOS One, 2015



- Multi-tiered response to diabetes screening dn prevention is essential.
- Current recommendations call for two-stage screening/testing approaches initiated in clinical settings.
- Screening and prevention of diabetes is cost-effective but will benefit form continued refinement of risk stratification approaches.



Advancing High Performance Health

# Practice-Based Screening for Diabetes



#### On-line resource for "staged" screening—begin by reviewing risk factors

• Ask. Screen. Know.<sup>™</sup> (unbranded website, provided by Novo Nordisk)

Using EHR data to identify patients for screening—data from Optum<sup>™</sup> One

- Typical proportions of patients eligible for screening
- Proportions who are currently being screened, and
- Yield from screening—patients with evidence for diabetes and prediabetes

First with A1c, then approximate figures for fasting plasma glucose and 2-hr GTT

• Ways to identify fasting glucose results in EHR data

Prioritizing patients with prediabetes for intervention

- More than 1,000 organizations offer NDPP programs, most at multiple sites
- Insurance coverage for intensive lifestyle programs—begins in 2018 for Medicare
- DPP study: heterogeneity of treatment effect





# More than 1 in 3 American adults are at-risk of diabetes



- Many people who develop diabetes have 1 or more family members with the disease
- African Americans are nearly twice as likely to develop diabetes as Caucasian Americans

#### askscreenknow.com

## **Topics**



#### On-line resource for "staged" screening

• Begin by reviewing risk factors

#### Using EHR data to identify patients for screening—data from Optum<sup>™</sup> One

- Typical proportions of patients eligible for screening
- Proportions who are currently being screened, and
- Yield from screening—patients with evidence for diabetes and prediabetes

First with A1c, then approximate figures for fasting plasma glucose and 2-hr GTT

• Ways to identify fasting glucose results in EHR data

Prioritizing patients with prediabetes for intervention

- More than 1,000 organizations offer NDPP programs, most at multiple sites
- Insurance coverage for intensive lifestyle programs—begins in 2018 for Medicare
- DPP study: heterogeneity of treatment effect
#### **Optum One – Population Health Analytics** Advancing High Performance Healt Transform data Make insights Aggregate data across Clean, normalize and validate data the continuum into insight actionable Predictive Mapping Clinical modeling claims & Automated scheduling extraction data Shared Disease Validation Normalization report models library Source Personsystem centric agnostic MPI **Bench-**NLP Optum<sup>™</sup> One marking Intelligent health analytics platform

AMGA Shared Learning, Research and Translation



#### Users of Optum One among AMGA Members: "Instrumented Practices"

15% of AMGA members 25% of patients



Copyright © 2016 AMGA Analytics LLC and OptumInsight Inc. All rights reserved.



























































#### Table 2.2—Criteria for testing for diabetes or prediabetes in asymptomatic adults

- 1. Testing should be considered in all adults who are overweight (BMI  $\ge$  25 kg/m<sup>2</sup> or  $\ge$  23 kg/m<sup>2</sup> in Asian Americans) and have additional risk factors:
  - physical inactivity
  - first-degree relative with diabetes
  - high-risk race/ethnicity (e.g., African American, Latino, Native American, Asian American, Pacific Islander)
  - women who delivered a baby weighing >9 lb or were diagnosed with GDM
  - hypertension ( $\geq$ 140/90 mmHg or on therapy for hypertension)
  - HDL cholesterol level <35 mg/dL (0.90 mmol/L) and/or a triglyceride level >250 mg/dL (2.82 mmol/L)
  - women with polycystic ovary syndrome
  - A1C  $\geq$ 5.7% (39 mmol/mol), IGT, or IFG on previous testing
  - other clinical conditions associated with insulin resistance (e.g., severe obesity, acanthosis nigricans)
  - history of CVD
- 2. For all patients, testing should begin at age 45 years.
- 3. If results are normal, testing should be repeated at a minimum of 3-year intervals, with consideration of more frequent testing depending on initial results (e.g., those with prediabetes should be tested yearly) and risk status.

American Diabetes Association, Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes – 2016















Risk Factor	Available Data	% of Study Population
Physical inactivity	self reported exercise status	18.1%
First-degree relative with diabetes	diagnosis (V180)	3.3%
High-risk race/ethnicity	self reported race/ethnicity	16.5%
Women who delivered a baby weighing > 9 lb or		
were diagnosed with gestational diabetes	diagnosis (V1221, 648.8)	2.8%
Hypertension (or on therapy for hypertension)	diagnosis, BP, Rx	31.5%
HDL cholesterol level < 35 mg/dL and/or		
a triglyceride level > 250 mg/dL	lab results	11.9%
Women with polycystic ovary syndrome	diagnosis, BP (140/90), Rx	2.8%
Past A1C ≥ 5.7%	lab results	1.0%
Other clinical conditions associated	diagnosis, BMI	
with insulin resistance	(acanthosis nigricans, severe obesity)	8.4%
History of CVD	evidence of CAD (Dx, Rx)	1.1%



















### **Topics**



On-line resource for "staged" screening

• Begin by reviewing risk factors

#### Using EHR data to identify patients for screening—data from Optum<sup>™</sup> One

- Typical proportions of patients eligible for screening
- Proportions who are currently being screened, and
- Yield from screening—patients with evidence for diabetes and prediabetes

First with A1c, then approximate figures for fasting plasma glucose and 2-hr GTT

• Ways to identify fasting glucose results in EHR data

Prioritizing patients with prediabetes for intervention

- More than 1,000 organizations offer NDPP programs, most at multiple sites
- Insurance coverage for intensive lifestyle programs—begins in 2018 for Medicare
- DPP study: heterogeneity of treatment effect

# **Are Patients Being Screened?**





#### **Important Note**

The next few slides reflect screening using A1c only, so they significantly under-estimate current screening rates.

We then explore plasma glucose results identified as fasting in the EHR, although only a few organizations have a large number of such results. But many glucose tests are drawn on the same day as lipid panels, which are almost always done fasting. Taking the lowest value among the glucose results on days when a lipid panel was done, the distribution is similar to that of results identified as fasting glucose—slightly lower, in fact. So it is probably correct to assume that those values were drawn fasting, just not clearly identified as such when reported in the EHR.

Optum labels glucose results as fasting only when they are clearly identified as fasting in the EHR. Overall, there are about 10 times as many results that were *probably* drawn fasting, along with a lipid panel.















Copyright © 2016 AMGA Analytics LLC and OptumInsight Inc. All rights reserved.

■ 1 ■ 2

■ 3 ■ 4

# of A1c Measurements



# HbA1c Results: Evidence of DM or Pre-DM





### A1c ≥ 6.5% – How Many Have a Diagnosis?

113K	f Patients *	15K 10K																													
	0 #	5K- OK																													
All Groups (A1c 36 mo)								1 1					Ir	ndivi	dua	AM	GA I	Mem	ber	Org	aniz	atio	ns						пп	1 1	
No Dx 29.5%	-	100% 90%	6 14%	19%	20%	21%	21%	22%	23%	23%	23%	24%	24%	25%	26%	26%	26%	27%	27%	29%	29%	29%	30%	31%	31%	32%	34%	34%	35%	%6	-
	-	80% <sup>-</sup>	16%	11%	7%	16%	%	%	9%	17%	%	%	%		<b>,</b> 9	,0		%												3	%
2015 18.3%	ients *	60%	29%	18%	27	3%	25	53	1		21	22	20	22%	20%	19%	22%	16	21%	20%	18%	21%	22%	15%	19%	20%	3%	19%	17%	%	67
2014 21.5%	% of Pat	50% 40%			23%	5	23%	26%	28%	26%	22%	23%	26%	25%	25%	25%	3%	26%	22%	2%	24%	%		26%	2%			%	%	199	-
	_	30%	_	3%						_		_				-				~		23	24%		2	229	19%	19	20	20%	<b>8</b> %
2013 30.7%	_	20%	41%	ß	30%	43%	31%	30%	31%	35%	33%	32%	30%	28%	30%	30%	29%	31%	30%	29%	29%	27%	4%	28%	28%	.6%	5%	28%	28%	%	11%
		10% 0%																					5			~	8			22	14%



#### Differences by Age (HbA1c only)

All G	Groups	All G	roups	All Groups					
Age: 18-44	Age: 45-89	Age: 18-44	Age: 45-89	Age: 18-44	Age: 45-89				
		≥ 6.5 11.5%	≥ 6.5 17.2%	No Dx 22.8%	No Dx 30.3%				
		5.70 – 6.49 29.5%							
80.2%	75.2%		5.70 – 6.49	2015 23.3%	2015				
00.27			47.4%		17.6%				
				2014 24.7%	2014 21.1%				
		< 5.7							
	11.0%	59.1%	< 5.7						
12.3%	5.4%		35.5%	2013 29.1%	2013 30.9%				
4.0%									

### **Topics**



#### On-line resource for "staged" screening

• Begin by reviewing risk factors

#### Using EHR data to identify patients for screening—data from Optum<sup>™</sup> One

- Typical proportions of patients eligible for screening
- Proportions who are currently being screened, and
- Yield from screening—patients with evidence for diabetes and prediabetes

#### First with A1c, then approximate figures for fasting plasma glucose and 2-hr GTT

• Ways to identify fasting glucose results in EHR data

#### Prioritizing patients with prediabetes for intervention

- More than 1,000 organizations offer NDPP programs, most at multiple sites
- Insurance coverage for intensive lifestyle programs—begins in 2018 for Medicare
- DPP study: heterogeneity of treatment effect

### **Measures of Glycemic Control**



Test	Prediabetes	Diabetes
HbA1c	5.7 – 6.4%	≥ 6.5%
Fasting Plasma Glucose (FPG)	100 – 125 mg/dL	≥ 126 mg/dL
2-hr PG in 75-g Oral Glucose Tolerance Test*	140 – 199 mg/dL	≥ 200 mg/dL
Random Plasma Glucose		≥ 200 mg/dL w/ classic symptoms

\* Across provider organizations, the oral glucose tolerance test is used almost exclusively in patients who are pregnant, presumably to identify gestational diabetes. These patients are not included in Together 2 Goal.<sup>®</sup>
# Max A1c (during 2015)





## **Max Fasting Plasma Glucose**





Copyright © 2016 AMGA Analytics LLC and OptumInsight Inc. All rights reserved.



# Max "Random" Glucose Drawn with Lipid Panel





205



		4.4	4.5	4.6	4.7	4.8	4.9	5.0	5.1	5.2	5.3	5.4	5.5	5.6	5.7	5.8	5.9	6.0	6.1	6.2	9.3	6.4	6.5	6.6	6.7	6.8	6.9	7.0	7.1	7.2	7.3	7.4	7.5	0. r	7.8	7.9	8.0	8.1	8.2	 	х 4. т	0.0 8	0.0 8 7	. 8.8	8.9	9.0
Fasting Plasma Glucose (mg/dL)	60 65	•					•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•			•	•	•				•	•		•	•						•	•				
	70 75		•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•		•	C.		•	ŀ		•										•			L.						•	•	
	80 85		•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•		•	•	•	0.0	67	·0 ·	•	·   ·	•		0.0	<b>6</b> %	<b>0</b> .		•		•	N	or	m	اد			ŻŚ	z o	%					
	90 95	•	•	•	•	•	•	•				0	0	0							•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		.01.			:	•			.70		,			
	100 105 110	•	• • •		• •	•	•	•	•	•									• • 7		3%	•	•	•	•	•	2	.69		• • •	•	•	di	Pr	e-		•	• • •	44	.0	%	•	•		•	•
	115 120 126		•			L 70	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•						•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•					·	•		•	•	· · ·	•	•	•	•
	130 135	•	•	•	•	•		2.3		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	Di	ab	et	es			17	<i>.</i> 1	%		•			•
	140 145 150	•	•	•	•	•	-			<b>.</b>	•	•	•	•		•	-	7.	1%	6	•	•		•	•	•	.(	6.7	7%	, .	•	•	•	•••	•	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•	•	• • •	•	· ·		•	•	
	155 160	·	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	.	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	 	•	•	:	•	•	• •	•	 	•	•		
	165 170 175	•	•	•	•	•		N	lo	ŗn	na		•	•	•	•	di	P al	re pe	- te		•	• • •	•	[	Di	ab	et	es		• • •	• • •	•	· ·	•	•		• • •	•		•	· ·	•	•	•	•
	180 185 190		٠		•	. `		•	51	.1	%	•	•	•	•	•		39	0.0	%	•	•	•	•	•	• (	9:9	9%	, . ) .	•	•	•	•	· ·		•	•	•	• • •	• • •	•	· ·	•	•	•	
	195 200			•						•	•	•	•	•	·	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	.	:	:	•	•		•	:	•	•	•	• 	•	:	:	:			•	· ·		:	:	:

HbA1c (%)

47,000 patients with both HbA1c and fasting glucose (identified as such) during 2015 and no Dx of diabetes prior to 1/1/2015







47,000 patients with both HbA1c and fasting glucose (identified as such) during 2015 and no Dx of diabetes prior to 1/1/2015



# of A1c

## **Topics**



On-line resource for "staged" screening

• Begin by reviewing risk factors

Using EHR data to identify patients for screening—data from Optum<sup>™</sup> One

- Typical proportions of patients eligible for screening
- Proportions who are currently being screened, and
- Yield from screening—patients with evidence of diabetes and prediabetes

First with A1c, then approximate figures for fasting plasma glucose and 2-hr GTT

• Ways to identify fasting glucose results in EHR data

#### Prioritizing patients with prediabetes for intervention

- More than 1,000 organizations offer NDPP programs, most at multiple sites
- Insurance coverage for intensive lifestyle programs—begins in 2018 for Medicare
- DPP study: heterogeneity of treatment effect

# **Heterogeneity of Treatment Effect**



- Reanalysis of data from randomized trials—subsets of patient populations
  - PCORI-funded
  - Tufts, University of Michigan, Veterans Health Administration
- Diabetes Prevention Program Study (DPP)
  - 3,234 adults with "pre-diabetes"
    - Impaired fasting glucose, impaired glucose tolerance
    - BMI  $\ge$  24 (or  $\ge$  22 in Asians)
  - Conducted 1996–2001, stopped one year early
  - Two interventions reduced the risk of progression to overt diabetes
    - 14.2% for intensive lifestyle intervention
    - 7.1% for metformin 850 mg/d

Sussman JB, Kent DM, Nelson JP, Hayward RA. Improving diabetes prevention with benefit-based tailored treatment: Risk-based reanalysis of Diabetes Prevention Program. *BMJ* 350 (February 2015): h454.

## Heterogeneity of Treatment Effect: Diabetes Prevention Program Study





Lowest-risk quartile – 15% of patients have HbA1c > 6.0% Highest-risk quartile – 25% of patients have HbA1c < 6.0%

http://www.pcori.org/research-in-action/moving-beyond-averages

### **Topics**



On-line resource for "staged" screening

• Begin by reviewing risk factors

Using EHR data to identify patients for screening—data from Optum<sup>™</sup> One

- Typical proportions of patients eligible for screening
- Proportions who are currently being screened, and
- Yield from screening—patients with evidence of diabetes and prediabetes

First with A1c, then approximate figures for fasting plasma glucose and 2-hr GTT

• Ways to identify fasting glucose results in EHR data

Prioritizing patients with prediabetes for intervention

- More than 1,000 organizations offer NDPP programs, most at multiple sites
- Insurance coverage for intensive lifestyle programs—begins in 2018 for Medicare
- DPP study: heterogeneity of treatment effect

# **Questions?**